As the drought in the western United States lowered water levels at Lake Mead, the Southern Nevada Water Authority fought back by constructing the world’s deepest subaqueous tunnel. The 3 mi long concrete-lined tunnel is designed to draw drinking water from an intake structure half buried at the bottom of the lake.

By Robert L. Reid

Severe and persistent drought conditions over the past 16 years have dropped the water level in Lake Mead, the largest reservoir in the United States, by more than 140 ft. The response by the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA), a nonprofit cooperative government utility that was formed in 1991 to address southern Nevada’s water needs on a regional basis, has included extensive conservation efforts that helped reduce per capita water use in the Las Vegas Valley by more than 40 percent between 2002 and 2014, even as the population there increased by more than 500,000, the SNWA reports on its website.

But with the reservoir’s surface water level falling recently to just under 1,075 ft above mean sea level—it’s lowest level since the completion in 1936 of Hoover Dam, which
impounds Colorado River water in Lake Mead, according to a May 22 CBS News online report, “Lake Mead Hits All-Time Low Amidst Ongoing Drought” — the region has faced potentially severe regulatory and technical challenges. On the regulatory side, at a sustained water level below 1,075 ft, the U.S. secretary of the interior could declare an official “shortage,” which could trigger reductions in the region’s water allocations. Such a declaration would not have an immediate effect on the region because the success of the aforementioned conservation efforts means that southern Nevada does not currently use its full water allocation, the SNWA notes. Eventually, however, the region could see its Colorado River allocations drop from 300,000 acre-ft per year to 287,000 acre-ft.

A more imminent danger is that if the water level falls as low as 1,050 ft, one of the SNWA’s two original drinking water intakes and its associated pumping station will be physically incapable of drawing any more water from the lake. At a level of 1,000 ft, the second of the original intakes and its associated pumping station will be physically incapable of drawing any more water from the lake. At that level, the third intake system is using the pumping stations of both the first and the second intake, which means that even the third intake would become inoperable if the water level were to fall to 1,000 ft. However, once the “low lake level” pumping station is completed, roughly in 2020, the SNWA will be able to pump water from deep within the lake, well below that 1,000 ft threshold, ensuring the water supply for southern Nevada, Moonin says.

The nearly $800-million project to construct Intake No. 3 was named one of six finalists in the competition for ASCE’s 2016 Outstanding Civil Engineering Achievement Award. Although the award ultimately went to the Dragon Bridge, in Da Nang, Vietnam, ASCE acknowledged the “complex rock conditions” encountered at Lake Mead in constructing the world’s deepest subaqueous tunnel and praised the design team for its innovative approach of installing a prefabricated intake structure under the lake bed using immersed tube techniques to mitigate the risks inherent in construction under “100 ft of water.”

The third intake was designed not only to protect the SNWA’s access to drinking water for the Las Vegas Valley area but also to maintain water quality standards, which can deteriorate as drought-related decreases in water levels, flows, and volumes lead to increased salinity, algal blooms, and other problems. But while the collection of water closer to the bottom of Lake Mead will help to address the quality concerns, the actual construction and installation of the infrastructure for the third intake presented an array of complex and formidable engineering challenges, explains Jon Hurt, P.E., CEng, M.ASCE, a principal in the New York City office of the international engineering firm Arup, which served as the engineer of record and led the engineering design of the design/build project. Arup worked directly for the design/build contractor, Vegas Tunnel Constructors, LLC, a joint venture of S.A. Healy Company, of Henderson, Nevada, and Salini Impregilo S.p.A., of Milano, Italy. Parsons, headquartered in Pasadena, California, provided program management and construction management services. A joint venture of MWH Global, Inc., of Broomfield, Colorado, and CH2M, of Englewood, Colorado, served as the owner’s engineers.

Arup’s work on the third intake project included structural, geotechnical, highway, maritime, water engineering, and site supervision services. In particular, the firm was responsible for the design of the third intake itself, a mostly concrete-encased structure that is half-buried beneath the lake bed at an elevation of 860 ft; a 600 ft deep access shaft constructed along the Lake Mead shore; and a 3 mi long tunnel that is 20 ft in diameter and links the base of the access shaft with the base of the intake structure, providing the route through which the water flows. Excavated beneath the lake bed via a tunnel-boring machine (TBM), the concrete-lined third intake tunnel was constructed under record-setting water pressures of up to 15 bars, Hurt says.

Denver-based Britzley Associates assisted Arup with the geotechnical investigations and certain aspects of the access design project. The MWH Global/CH2M joint venture also performed preconstruction geotechnical inspections and work on the development of the design criteria contained in the project’s request for proposals.

The shaft was constructed on a ridge of land known as Saddle Island. Once an actual island connected to the shore by a causeway, Hurt says, Saddle Island is now more of a peninsula because the tidal water levels of Lake Mead have exposed land around the site that used to be submerged. The shaft site was relatively open, undeveloped land on a hillside, that was partially excavated to create a level work zone, Hurt adds. A two-lane paved access road also had to be designed and constructed to reach the site, including a new turning lane that was added to an existing highway that winds its way through the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, which surrounds the project site.

As part of a separate project, a bicycle lane was under construction along the roughly half-mile route of the access road, and to allow the trucks and other vehicles for the intake project to pass over the new bike lane, a prestressed-concrete bridge also was constructed at the site, Hurt says. Because the area can be home to the desert tortoise, an endangered species, the site was investigated to ensure that no tortoises were present, and special fencing was then installed along the perimeter to prevent any tortoises from entering the site later. Hurt adds.

The shaft itself is 30 ft in diameter and lined with 18 in. thick concrete walls. Constructed via the drill and blast method, the shaft was initially designed to provide access for the TBM, a 600 ft long, 23.5 ft diameter hybrid device manufactured by Herrenknecht AG, of Schwamau, Germany. The shaft was constructed within relatively challenging soil conditions that featured highly fractured rock, a detachment fault and shear zones, a high water table, and rock permeability that was worse than expected, notes Hurt. The areas of broken rock were especially difficult because of the extreme depths of the excavation and the fact that the water could flow through
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the joints of the broken rock and thus impose the full 15 bars of hydrostatic pressure on the equipment and infrastructure, Hurt says.

An extensive program of preexcavation grouting was required to prevent water ingress during the drilling and blasting of the shaft, which essentially involved pumping in a cementitious mixture “to fill up any cracks in the rock ahead of us where the water could flow through,” Hurt explains. The grouting produced a roughly 3 m thick, 36 m deep curtain around the shaft, Hurt noted in the paper “Lake Mead Intake No. 5,” which he coauthored with Moonin and Jim McDon-ald, a Vegas Tunnel Constructors project director. The paper was presented at the 2010 World Tunnel Congress, held in Vancouver, British Columbia.
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Tugboats later moved the barge and intake structure farther out on the lake for installation. The designated site was an underwater pit 159 ft long, 95 ft wide, and 83 ft deep that had been excavated in the lake bed via 23,000 shaped charg- es used to blast away the rock over a two-year construction period, explains a Parsons website describing the project. Because divers could not work safely at the depths involved, remotely operated underwater vehicles were used instead to help guide and carefully position the intake on the lake bot- tom. Assistance was provided by pencil buoys, subsea levels, and a gyroscope that featured built-in accelerometers, says Parsons. A steel guiding frame designed for the intake struc- ture was lowered to the lake bottom prior to the structure’s descent. The frame was formed from heavy steel beams and designed to support the weight of the intake structure and maintain its proper orientation during the installation, the Rapid Excavation and Tunneling Conference paper explained.

To secure the intake structure, a foundation was constructed on the lake bed by pumping self-consolidating tremie concrete down from the lake surface in a continuous operation last- ing 12 days that was designed to produce a solid structure with no joints, notes Moonin. The TBM was going to tunnel right into that mass of concrete, she explains, “so we wanted to make sure it was a nice solid block.”

Moving the concrete out to the middle of the lake so it could be placed around the intake was itself an amazing sight, as regular concrete mixer trucks drove onto barges. The trucks were secured, as many as eight across, and then floated out to the installation site. A trio of these truck-laden barges moved back and forth across Lake Mead in a continuous cy- cle, making more than 140 trips and delivering more than 12,000 cu yd of concrete to fix the intake structure to the lake bottom, explains the SNWA website.

The tunnel and the intake structure were connected in December 2014, an engineering achievement that chal- lenged the limits of TBM technology. To line up a long, thin tunnel with a relatively small predetermined target—the roughly 25 ft wide base of the intake structure—over a dis- tance of 3 mi would have been challenging enough, Hurt says. But attempting it with more than 300 ft of water pressing down from the surface of the lake made the task even more dramatic. Yet, even though the engineers had de- signed in sufficient tolerances so that the TBM could have been off the mark by as much as a foot in any direction, when the actual connection between the tunnel and the intake structure was made the result was within just a centimeter or two of the goal, Hurt says. This precision was possible only because of the dedicated crews and the in- novative ideas of the design/build team as all parties worked together to tackle the chal- lenges, says Moonin.

By the middle of 2015, as the tunnel and the intake structure were being readied for operation, the SNWA also broke ground on the low lake level pumping station, which will ensure the third intake’s ability to con- tinue to draw water from heretofore unreachable depths. The $650-million project will involve the construction of a 26 ft diameter access shaft 528 ft deep. At its bottom, a near- ly 400 ft long horseshoe-shaped cavern 33 ft wide and 36 ft high will be the foundation for the intake building. This cavern will consist of 33 additional vertical shafts that will each be 8 ft in diameter, 300 ft deep, and installed under strict tolerances. These shafts will accommodate the pumping station’s high-lift, high-capacity sub- mersible pumps so that the water can be sent to the SNWA’s existing treatment facilities. The authority is currently evaluating different types of equipment from three manufacturers that will combine existing motor and pump technologies in unprecedented ways, Moonin says. The MWH Global/CH2M joint venture designed the new pumping station infra- structure, which is being constructed by Barnard of Nevada, Inc., based in Boulder City, Nevada, as the construction man- ager at risk.

The origins of the third intake and the low lake level pumping plant date to 2002, when the primary concern was water quality, Moonin notes. But by 2005, as the region’s drought continued, the project had evolved into an effort to ensure access to the water supply as well. Now, more than a dozen years after the first steps in this effort were taken, there are few signs of long-term improvement for the Colorado River system, Moonin adds. But because the SNWA recog- nized the problem early on and all the players, from the engin-